

File Number

Title

# **City of West Allis**

7525 W. Greenfield Ave. West Allis, WI 53214

## **Matter Summary**

Status

	R-2011-0014	Resolution			Introduced			
			he sole source proposal of Aring Equipment Co, Inc. for used Volvo Wheel Loader for a total net sum of \$119,310.00.  Controlling Body: Public Works Committee					
					Sponsor(s): Administration & Finance Committee and Public Works Committee			
COMM	AITTEE RECOMM	IENDATION _	edopt					
ACTIO DATE: IAN 1		XAVE	Barczak Czaplewski Kopplin Lajsic Narlock Reinke Roadt Sengstock Vitale Weigel TOTAL	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X Y 5-4-P		PRESENT	EXCUSED Plo-1	
Chair	Herry Bury Vice-Chair				Member			
COMM	ION COUNCIL AC	CTION	adq	ot_				
ACTIO DATE:	MOVER	SECONDER	Barczak Czaplewski Kopplin Lajsic Narlock Reinke Roadt Sengstock Vitale Weigel TOTAL	AYE	NO	PRESENT	EXCUSED	



### City of West Allis

7525 W. Greenfield Ave. West Allis, WI 53214

#### Resolution

File Number: R-2011-0014

**Final Action:** 

JAN 182011

Sponsor(s):

Administration & Finance Committee and Public Works

Committee

Resolution relative to accepting the sole source proposal of Aring Equipment Co., Inc. for furnishing and delivering one (1) used Volvo Wheel Loader for a total net sum of \$119,310.00.

WHEREAS, The Purchasing/Central Services Division has reported that it has received a proposal for one (1) Volvo Wheel Loader for the Public Works Department of the City of West Allis; and,

WHEREAS, The Common Council deems it to be in the best interests of the City of West Allis that the proposal of Aring Equipment Co., Inc. be accepted; and,

WHEREAS, the Fleet Services Division of the Department of Public Works has determined that this contract is inappropriate for competitive selection due to the low cost maintenance record, noise emission comparisons and durability of the Volvo loaders.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Common Council of the City of West Allis that the proposal dated January 5, 2011 submitted by Aring Equipment Co., Inc.for furnishing and delivering one (1) used 2010 Volvo L70F Wheel Loader for the total net sum of \$119,310.00 be and is hereby accepted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Purchasing/Central Services Division be and is hereby authorized to enter into a contract for the aforesaid equipment.

PCSD1114

ADOPTED

JAN 18 2011

Paul M. Ziehler, City Admin. Officer, Clerk/Treas.

APPROVED

Dan Devine, Mayor





#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Michael G. Lewis

#### Gordon Paprocki

Superintendent Fleet Services Division

414/302-8810 414/302-8889 (Fax)

Municipal Yards 6300 West McGeoch Avenue West Allis, Wisconsin 53219

www.ci.west-allis.wi.us

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Gene Baietto, Purchasing

FROM:

Gordy Paprocki, Fleet Services Division

DATE:

January 10, 2011

SUBJECT:

Sole Source Purchase of Volvo L70F (Rental) Wheel Loader

As they were in 1998, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 Volvo wheel loaders are being held as the benchmark in our fleet today. This again is based on our findings after researching and reviewing current production units from CAT, Deere, Case and Volvo.

Again, areas of comparison and concern include, but are not limited to, tractive effort, physical size, road speed, operating characteristics, ergonomics, operating capacities, special features both standard and optional, serviceability, durability, cost of ownership and sound suppression.

In some areas the Volvos were equal to the others, but in many areas they exceeded expectations in what we currently have in our fleet and other current production equipment we reviewed. We also now have the benefit of thirteen years of operating experience with our current Volvo loaders. Upon reviewing the maintenance history of our current and former units, I found them to be the "low cost" leaders in comparison to other loaders that we have had in our fleet with nearly the same amount of operating hours. The former competitor had over two and a half times as many repair orders written for product related problems as the Volvos did. In addition, the repair orders that were written on the Volvos were for some very minor problems resulting in a very minimal cost and amount of down time. This I firmly believe to be a testimonial to the serviceability, durability and low cost of ownership attributes of the Volvo loaders.

Another area of major concern that has surfaced in recent years is noise emissions, not only the operator's exposure, but employees working around the machine as well. The Volvo loaders are exceptionally quiet operating machines, for not only the operator, but also for personnel working in the general vicinity of the loader and the public as well. The noise exposure level from these machine are so low that Dave Wepking, our former Safety and Training Coordinator has recommended that we continue to purchase Volvo loaders based solely on this information and I am sure that our current Coordinator would agree with this for the same reasons.

Volvo L70F Loader Page 2

The other units reviewed came in at LWA 106 to 108 DBA, currently Case, CAT and Deere all offer some type of optional sound suppression kit, but it is a rather pricey option which only reduces the exterior noise level to LPA 100 DBA. Current interior sound pressure on all except Volvo was running from LPA 85 to 87 DBA, with their optional sound suppression kit it may reduce that by approximately 6 to 8 DBA respectively but there is nothing published that I could find to substantiate those numbers. Currently our older Volvo L70D and L90D are at LPA 80 DBA, the 2005 L70E is at LPA 74 DBA, and the 2006 and 2007 L70E's at LPA 70 DBA, the 2008 and 2009 L70F are at LPA 68 DBA, as well as the 2010 production of the L70F which continues to hold the line at LPA 68 DBA, still the quietest machine in the industry.

In the department's 2011 equipment replacement budget, we have \$130,000.00 earmarked for a 2.75 cubic yard wheel loader. Once again a snow rental unit has been made available to us from Aring Equipment, our local Volvo dealer. I have provided you with a quotation, which shows all of the detailed information on this unit, and some comparable units sold to municipal agencies in recent history. Quoted to us at \$119,310.00 you can see this is an exceptional deal for the city. So with all this said and done I also recommend that it would be in the City's best interest to purchase this loader as quoted. If you should have any questions or concerns please do not hesitate to contact me.

GP/djk

cc: M. Lewis T. Harmatys