

COUNTY CLERK

Milwaukee County

MARK RYAN • County Clerk

RECEIVED

FEB 2 7 2007

CITY OF WEST ALLIS CLERK/TREASUL R

## STATE OF WISCONSIN ) )SS COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE )

I, Mark Ryan, County Clerk in and for the County of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the attached copy of File No. <u>06-381</u> is a true and correct copy of the original resolution duly adopted by the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors at a meeting held on <u>September 28, 2006</u> and approved by the Milwaukee County Executive on <u>October10, 2006</u>.

Given under my hand and official seal, at the Milwaukee County Courthouse, in the City of Milwaukee, this <u>11<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>October</u>, 2006.

markt MARK RYAN **County Clerk** 



COURTHOUSE, ROOM 105 • 901 NORTH 9TH STREET • MILWAUKEE, WI 53233 • TELEPHONE: (414) 278-4067

YED 2 0 200	6
SEP 2 8 200	N.
abopted	By Supervisor Lynne De Bruin, Chairperson
alopted 1 16-3 2 3	From the Committee on Parks, Energy and Environment reporting on
$10^{-3}$	
4	File No. 06-381
5	(Journal, September 28, 2006)
6	
7	(ITEM NO. 1) From President, Real Estate Recycling, proposing a cooperative effort by
8	the City of Greenfield, City of West Allis and Milwaukee County to clean up the
9	pollution on property at 116th and Morgan and develop a significant new tax base, by
10	recommending adoption of the following:
11	
12	A RESOLUTION
13	W/LIEDEAS a 21 agrs any iron montally contaminated property at 11(th and
14 15	WHEREAS, a 21-acre environmentally contaminated property at 116th and Morgan, which was owned by Allis Chalmers, has been tax delinquent since 1988; and
15	Morgan, which was owned by Ans Chamlers, has been tax definquent since 1966, and
10	WHEREAS, since 1988 the total amount of taxes Milwaukee County paid to the
18	City of Greenfield on this tax delinquent property were about \$180,000 and the County
19	will continue to pay taxes on the property until it is redeveloped and returned to a tax
20	paying status; and
21	
22	WHEREAS, Real Estate Recycling, LLC, a Minneapolis, Minnesota developer,
23	focuses on redeveloping contaminated property and has successfully completed many
24	projects in Milwaukee County; and
25	
26	WHEREAS, with cooperation from Milwaukee County, the City of Greenfield,
27	and the City of West Allis, Real Estate Recycling is interested combining the subject 21-
28	acre tax delinquent property with four neighboring parcels to develop a high quality
29	residential neighborhood; and
30	
31	WHEREAS, to combine the 21-acre tax delinquent property with the four
32	neighboring parcels for redevelopment, the County Treasurer must foreclose on the delinquent property and the County transfer the property to the City of Greenfield; and
33 34	definquent property and the County transfer the property to the City of Greenheid, and
34	WHEREAS, one of the four neighboring parcels is also owned by Milwaukee
36	County and under the jurisdiction of the Parks Department; and
37	county and under the junisaletion of the ranks Department, and
38	WHEREAS, one of the four neighboring parcels is owned by the City of West
39	Allis and used as a Public Works yard which includes collection, storage and
40	processing of brush and leaves; and
41	
- 42	WHEREAS, two of the four neighboring parcels are owned by the ¢ity of
43	Greenfield and the City has entered into a purchase agreement with Real Estate
44	Recycling for their two parcels; and
	APPROVED AS TO FORM
	1 CORPORATION DURSEL
	CORPORATOR OUTSEL

- 45 46 WHEREAS, one of the City of Greenfield parcels is used as a Public Works vard 47 which includes a leaf composting operation; and 48 49 WHEREAS, Real Estate Recycling has had preliminary discussions regarding the environmental remediation of the site with the Wisconsin Department of Natural 50 Resources and they have expressed interest in the remediation and redevelopment of 51 the site: and 52 53 54 WHEREAS, the potential benefits of redeveloping this and the adjacent sites 55 would be to create a major new tax base, provide remediation of the environmental contamination impacts on these properties, improve storm water management and 56 erosion control and to increase the usability of the parkland; and 57 58 59 WHEREAS, the Economic Development Director recommends that a memorandum of understanding be developed that includes Milwaukee County, the 60 City of Greenfield, Real Estate Recycling, LLC and the City of West Allis, if necessary, to 61 begin negotiating terms and conditions for the potential redevelopment of the 21-acre 62 63 parcel at 116th and Morgan and the other four parcels as aforementioned; and 64 WHEREAS, the Committee on Parks, Energy and Environment, at its September 65 19, 2006 meeting, recommended approval of the Economic Development Director's 66 request (vote 5-1); now, therefore 67 68 69 BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors hereby supports the concept of developing the tax delinquent property at 116th and Morgan 70 and the neighboring parcels owned by the City of Greenfield and the City of West Allis; 71 72 and 73 74 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of the Department of Parks is authorized and directed to request the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning 75 Commission to review the five-acre parcel under the Parks Department's jurisdiction 76 per Milwaukee County's parkland disposition policy; and 77 78 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of the Economic Development 79 Division, Director of the Parks Department, County Board Staff and Corporation 80 Counsel are authorized and directed to negotiate a memorandum of understanding 81 (MOU) with the City of Greenfield and Real Estate Recycling, LLC and the City of West 82 Allis, if necessary, regarding terms and conditions of the following: 83 84 85 1. Remediation and redevelopment of the tax delinquent property at 116th and Morgan and adjacent parcels owned by the City of Greenfield, the 86 City of West Allis and Milwaukee County's Parks Department; 87
  - 2

88 89		preclosure and conveyance of the tax delinquent property by the County reasurer to the City of Greenfield;
90		elocation of the City of Greenfield and City of West Allis' brush and leaf
91		ollection, processing and composting operations; and
92		onsideration of compensation for the potential inclusion of the parkland
93		arcel in the developmental proposal.
94	1.00	andanan pana panan tanungan kanangunganan kan Principanya
95	; and	
96		
97	BE IT FU	RTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of the Economic Development
98	Division shall re	eport back to the Committees on Economic and Community
99	Development ar	nd Parks, Energy and Environment for review and approval of the
100	negotiated Mem	norandum of Understanding; and
101		
102	BE IT FU	RTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is authorized to send a copy
103	of this resolution	n to the City of Greenfield and City of West Allis upon adoption.
104		
105		
106 107	JE September 11, 2006	
107		Analysts\Esch\Real Estate Recycling - 116th and Morgan FINAL.doc
109		
$110 \\ 111$	M0/20/2006.U.\Sha	rod/COMCLERK/Committees/2006/San06/Darks/finalreess/06.201rees.doo
112	IVIW:9/20/2000.11:\Sha	red\COMCLERK\Committees\2006\Sep06\Parks\finalresos\06-381reso.doc
113		

## · · · · · · · ·

## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

06-381

DAT	E: March 13, 2006	Origin	al Fiscal Note			
		Subst	itute Fiscal Note			
Dired men LLC delin	<b>CT:</b> Authorizing and directing the Director of the Economic Development Division, r of the Parks Department, County Board Staff and Corporation Counsel to negotiate a andum of understanding (MOU) with the City of Greenfield and Real Estate Recycling, id the City of West Allis for the possible remediation and redevelopment of the tax ent property at 118 <sup>th</sup> & Morgan and a neighboring 5-acre parcel owned by the County's Department.					
FISC	CAL EFFECT:					
$\boxtimes$	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures			
	Existing Staff Time Required Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)     Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Expenditures Increase Capital Revenues Decrease Capital Revenues			
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget	_				
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds			
	Increase Operating Revenues					
	Decrease Operating Revenues					

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	0
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

et de la construction de la constru La construction de la construction d

· 영상 3

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.<sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Adoption of this resolution will not require an expenditure of funds. However, it will require an expenditure of staff time.

Department/Prepared By

Authorized Signature

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?

Yes 🛛 No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.